

# Special Purpose Audit Report

Eden Prairie Public Schools • ISD #272 • Eden Prairie, Minnesota Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2016

2016

# INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 272 EDEN PRAIRIE, MINNESOTA

Special Purpose Audit Reports

Year Ended June 30, 2016

Special Purpose Audit Reports Year Ended June 30, 2016

# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	1
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	2–3
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	4–6
Independent Auditor's Report on Minnesota Legal Compliance	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8–12
Independent Auditor's Report on Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table	13–14
Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table	15–16

### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2016

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA No.	Federal Expenditures		Noncash Assistance	
<b>U.S. Department of Agriculture</b> Passed through Minnesota Department of Education					
Child nutrition cluster					
National School Lunch Program	10.555	\$ 1,270,711		\$ 176,801	
School Breakfast Program	10.553	156,402			
Total child nutrition cluster			\$ 1,427,113		
U.S. Department of Education					
Passed through Minnesota Department of Education					
Special education cluster					
Special Education – Grants to States	84.027	1,523,704			
Special Education – Preschool Grants	84.173	50,717			
Total special education cluster			1,574,421		
Special Education – Grants for Infants and Families	84.181		25,046		
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010		757,593		
Supporting Effective Instruction State Grant	84.367		154,829		
English Language Acquisition State Grants	84.365		88,313		
Passed through Independent School District No. 284					
Career and Technical Education – Basic Grants to States	84.048		47,869		
Total federal awards			\$ 4,075,184		

- Note 1: The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is prepared on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with both OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations, and the OMB's Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, when applicable. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from the amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the District's basic financial statements.
- Note 2: All pass-through entities listed above use the same CFDA numbers as the federal grantors to identify these grants, and have not assigned any additional identifying numbers.
- Note 3: The District did not elect to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate.



PRINCIPALS Thomas M. Montague, CPA Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA Paul A. Radosevich, CPA William J. Lauer, CPA James H. Eichten, CPA Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER

# FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

# BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN

# ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 272 Eden Prairie, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 272 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 14, 2016.

# INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

(continued)

# **COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

# **PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosenich & Co., P.A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 14, 2016



PRINCIPALS Thomas M. Montague, CPA Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA Paul A. Radosevich, CPA William J. Lauer, CPA James H. Eichten, CPA Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR

# EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL

# OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

# OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 272 Eden Prairie, Minnesota

# **REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM**

We have audited Independent School District No. 272's (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016. The District's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

# MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

# AUDITOR'S RESPONSIBILITY

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the District's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance.

(continued)

# BASIS FOR QUALIFIED OPINION ON THE CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER

As described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, the District did not comply with requirements regarding child nutrition requirements for procurement, suspension, and debarment, reported as finding 2016-002. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the District to comply with the requirements applicable to that program.

# **QUALIFIED OPINION ON THE CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER**

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion paragraph, the District complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page that could have a direct and material effect on the child nutrition cluster program for the year ended June 30, 2016.

# **OTHER MATTERS**

The District's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

# **REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE**

Management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to on the previous page. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified deficiencies in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2016-001 and 2016-002, that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

### **DISTRICT'S RESPONSES TO FINDINGS**

The District's responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

# **PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT**

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

# **REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated November 14, 2016, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Malloy, Montaque, Karnowski, Radosenich & Co., P.A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 14, 2016



PRINCIPALS Thomas M. Montague, CPA Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA Paul A. Radosevich, CPA William J. Lauer, CPA James H. Eichten, CPA Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

# **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

# ON MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 272 Eden Prairie, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 272 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 14, 2016.

# MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

The *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for School Districts*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minnesota Statute § 6.65, contains seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and uniform financial accounting and reporting standards for school districts. Our audit considered all of the listed categories.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the District failed to comply with the provisions of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for School Districts*, except as described in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2016-003. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the District's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions.

### **DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO FINDING**

The District's response to the legal compliance finding identified in our audit has been included in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

# PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosenich & Co., P.A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 14, 2016

# Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2016

# A. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

This summary is formatted to provide federal granting agencies and pass-through agencies answers to specific questions regarding the audit of federal awards.

# **Financial Statements**

What type of auditor's report is issued?		X Unmodified Qualified Adverse Disclaimer
Internal control over financial reporting:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	<u>X</u> No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes	X None reported
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	Yes	X No
Federal Awards		
Internal controls over major federal award programs:		
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	X Yes	None reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs?		
U.S. Department of Agriculture – child nutrition cluster		Qualified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?	X Yes	No
Programs tested as major programs:		
Program or Cluster(s)	CFDA	No.
The U.S. Department of Agriculture child nutrition cluster consisting of: – School Breakfast Program – National School Lunch Program		.553 .555
Threshold for distinguishing type A and B programs.	\$ 750	,000
Does the auditee qualify as a low-risk auditee?	X Yes	No

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

# **B. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS**

None.

# C. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE – ALL FEDERAL PROGRAMS AWARDED UNDER UNIFORM GUIDANCE

# 2016-001 Internal Controls Over Compliance With Cash Management, Allowable Costs, and Standards for Financial Management

**Criteria** – 2 CFR § 200.302(b)(5), (6), and (7) require Independent School District No. 272 (the District) to have written cash management procedures, which includes procedures for determining the allowability of costs in accordance with 2 CFR 200 Subpart E – Cost Principles, as well as a required written budget to actual comparison of expenditures for each federal award.

**Condition** – During our audit, we noted that the District did not have documented written controls to ensure compliance with the U.S. Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance) cash management, allowable costs, and financial management standards.

Questioned Costs – None. Our testing did not indicate any instances of noncompliance.

**Context** – The lack of written controls pertains to all federal grants, except those grants that remained under OMB A-133 guidance, if any. This was not a statistically valid sample.

**Repeat Finding** – This is a current year finding only.

**Cause** – This is the first year of implementation of the new Uniform Guidance requirements for federal awards, and some internal control policies, including cash management, allowable costs, and financial management were not updated to reflect the necessary changes.

Effect – This could be viewed as a violation of the award agreement.

**Recommendation** – We recommend that the District review its internal control procedures relating to cash management, allowable costs, and financial management for all federal programs. The District should review the new Uniform Guidance to obtain a better understanding of the new requirements and identify any needed policy and procedure changes, in addition to those already referenced above. We also recommend the District adopt written policies pertaining to cash management, allowable costs, and financial management for all federal programs. The District should also document and perform regular budget to actual comparison of expenditures for each federal award.

# Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

# C. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

# SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE – ALL FEDERAL PROGRAMS AWARDED UNDER UNIFORM GUIDANCE (CONTINUED)

# 2016-001 Internal Controls Over Compliance With Cash Management, Allowable Costs, and Standards for Financial Management (continued)

**View of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Actions** – The District agrees with the finding. The District is in the process of reviewing and updating its written policies and procedures relating to cash management, allowable costs, and financial management standards for its federal programs to ensure compliance with Uniform Guidance in the future. The District has separately issued a Corrective Action Plan related to this finding.

# SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE AND REPORTABLE INSTANCES OF NONCOMPLIANCE – U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER – CFDA NOS. 10.553 AND 10.555

# 2016-002 Internal Control and Compliance With Federal Procurement, Suspension, and Debarment Requirements

**Criteria** – 2 CFR § 180 and 2 CFR § 215.45. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements applicable to federal program expenditures, including procurement, suspension, and debarment requirements applicable to the child nutrition cluster program.

**Condition** – During our audit, we noted that the District did not have sufficient controls in place within its child nutrition cluster federal program to assure that it was not contracting for goods or services with parties that are suspended or debarred, or whose principals are suspended or debarred from participating in contracts involving the expenditures of federal program funds. The District also did not have sufficient controls in place within its child nutrition cluster federal program to assure compliance with federal procurement requirements, which resulted in noncompliance. For two of three vendors tested, the District had not awarded a contract based on sealed bids on quotations as required by the Uniform Guidance.

Questioned Costs – None noted.

**Context** – Two of three vendors tested were not in compliance. This was not a statistically valid sample.

**Repeat Finding** – This is a current year finding only.

Cause – This was an oversight by district personnel.

**Effect** – Noncompliance with the procurement, suspension, and debarment requirements could result in the District expending federal funds with vendors that are not eligible to be parties to such transactions, which could be viewed as a violation of the award agreement.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

# C. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)

SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE AND REPORTABLE INSTANCES OF NONCOMPLIANCE – U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE CHILD NUTRITION CLUSTER – CFDA NOS. 10.553 AND 10.555 (CONTINUED)

# 2016-002 Internal Control and Compliance With Federal Procurement, Suspension, and Debarment Requirements (continued)

**Recommendation** – We recommend that the District review its internal control procedures relating to procurement, suspension, and debarment for all federal programs. Internal controls over compliance for this area should include verification that any vendor with which the District contracts for goods or services exceeding \$25,000 is not listed as suspended or debarred on the federal Excluded Parties List System (EPLS) website. The District should also verify compliance with federal procurement procedures, including awarding contracts based on sealed bids or quotations for the purchase of goods or services exceeding applicable federal dollar thresholds.

**View of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Actions** – The District agrees with the finding. The District intends to review its procedures relating to procurement, suspension, and debarment for its federal programs, and will ensure that all parties with which it contracts for goods or services are eligible to participate in contracts involving the expenditures of federal program funding and ensure that bids and/or quotations are obtained when required. The District has separately issued a Corrective Action Plan related to this finding.

# D. MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE FINDINGS

# 2016-003 Contracting and Bid Laws Compliance

Criteria – Minnesota Statute § 471.345, Subd. 3.

**Condition** – Minnesota Statutes require contracts for goods or services in excess of certain dollar thresholds to be awarded using sealed bids or quotations. For two vendors tested to which this requirement applied, the District had not awarded a contract based on sealed bids or quotations.

Questioned Costs – Not applicable.

**Context** – Two of nine vendors tested were not in compliance.

**Repeat Finding** – This is a current year finding only.

Cause – This was an oversight by district personnel.

Effect – The District was not in compliance with bid law requirements.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) Year Ended June 30, 2016

# D. MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE FINDINGS (CONTINUED)

# 2016-003 Contracting and Bid Laws Compliance (continued)

**Recommendation** – We recommend that the District comply with state statutory contracting requirements for all applicable vendors.

**View of Responsible Official and Planned Corrective Actions** – The District agrees with the finding. The District will review its procedures relating to contracting and bid laws to ensure compliance in the future. The District has separately issued a Corrective Action Plan related to this finding.



PRINCIPALS Thomas M. Montague, CPA Thomas A. Karnowski, CPA Paul A. Radosevich, CPA William J. Lauer, CPA James H. Eichten, CPA Aaron J. Nielsen, CPA Victoria L. Holinka, CPA

# **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON**

# UNIFORM FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING AND

# **REPORTING STANDARDS COMPLIANCE TABLE**

To the School Board and Management of Independent School District No. 272 Eden Prairie, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Independent School District No. 272 (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 14, 2016.

Audit standards referred to in the previous paragraph require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards (UFARS) Compliance Table is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Minnesota Department of Education (MDE), and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the District. The UFARS Compliance Table is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the UFARS Compliance Table is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

(continued)

The purpose of this report on the UFARS Compliance Table required by the MDE is solely to describe the scope of our testing of the UFARS Compliance Table and the results of that testing based on our audit. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Malloy, Montague, Karnowski, Radosenich & Co., P.A.

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 14, 2016

# Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table June 30, 2016

			Audit		UFARS	Audit	– UFARS
General Fund							
Total revenue		\$	118,097,678	\$	118,097,678	\$	_
Total expenditures		\$	118,790,022	\$	118,790,024	\$	(2)
Nonspendable							
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	462,195	\$	462,195	\$	-
Restricted							
403	Staff development	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
405	Deferred maintenance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
406	Health and safety	\$	46,962	\$	46,962	\$	-
407	Capital projects levy	\$	333,645	\$	333,645	\$	-
408	Cooperative revenue	\$ \$	-	\$	-	\$ \$	-
409 413	Alternative facility program Project funded by COP	ֆ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	_
413	Operating debt	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
414	Levy reduction	5 \$	_	\$	_	\$	_
410	Taconite building maintenance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
423	Certain teacher programs	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
424	Operating capital	\$	826,839	\$	826,839	\$	_
426	\$25 taconite	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
427	Disabled accessibility	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
428	Learning and development	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
434	Area learning center	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
435	Contracted alternative programs	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
436	State approved alternative program	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
438	Gifted and talented	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
440	Teacher development and evaluation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
441	Basic skills programs	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
445	Career and technical programs	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-
448 449	Achievement and integration	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	_
449	Safe schools levy Pre-kindergarten	ֆ \$	_	э \$	_	\$ \$	_
450	QZAB payments	\$ \$	_	\$	_	\$	_
451	OPEB liability not in trust	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
453	Unfunded severance and retirement levy	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
467	Long-term facilities maintenance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Committed	C C						
418	Committed for separation	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
461	Committed fund balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Assigned							
462	Assigned fund balance	\$	2,727,888	\$	2,727,888	\$	-
Unassigned							
422	Unassigned fund balance	\$	13,111,310	\$	13,111,310	\$	_
Food Service							
Total revenue		\$	4,773,653	\$	4,773,653	\$	
Total expenditures		\$ \$	4,940,466	\$	4,940,466	\$	_
Nonspendable		Ŷ	4,940,400	Ψ	4,940,400	Ψ	
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	151,547	\$	151,547	\$	_
Restricted	t	-		Ŧ		-	
452	OPEB liability not in trust	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	643,403	\$	643,403	\$	-
Unassigned							
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Community Service							
Total revenue		\$	4,354,910	\$	4,354,910	\$	-
Total expenditures		\$	4,469,778	\$	4,469,778	\$	-
Nonspendable		¢	40.250	¢	49.250	¢	
460 Destricts d	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	48,359	\$	48,359	\$	-
Restricted	\$25 teconite	¢		¢		\$	
426 431	\$25 taconite Community education	\$ \$	883,725	\$ \$	883,725	\$ \$	-
431	ECFE	ծ \$	681,152	э \$	681,152	\$ \$	_
432 440	Teacher development and evaluation	¢		\$		\$	_
444	School readiness	\$	(321,863)	\$	(321,863)	\$	_
447	Adult basic education	\$	-	\$		\$	_
452	OPEB liability not in trust	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Unassigned							
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

### Uniform Financial Accounting and Reporting Standards Compliance Table (continued) June 30, 2016

			Audit		UFARS		Audit – UFARS	
Building Construction								
Total revenue		\$	54,188	\$	54,188	\$	_	
Total expenditures		\$	5,632,304	\$	5,632,304	\$	_	
Nonspendable		Ŷ	0,002,001	Ŷ	5,052,501	Ψ		
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Restricted	I							
407	Capital projects levy	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
409	Alternative facility program	\$	5,558,128	\$	5,558,128	\$	_	
413	Project funded by COP	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
467	Long-term facilities maintenance	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Unassigned								
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Debt Service								
Total revenue		\$	4,012,932	\$	4,012,931	\$	1	
Total expenditures		\$	4,424,889	\$	4,424,889	\$	-	
Nonspendable								
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	
Restricted	•							
425	Bond refundings	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	
451	QZAB payments	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	1,102,537	\$	1,102,537	\$	-	
Unassigned								
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Trust								
Total revenue		\$	693,911	\$	693,911	\$		
Total expenditures		\$	1,297,836	\$	1,297,836	\$	_	
422	Net position	\$	1,544,589	\$ \$	1,544,589	\$	_	
422	Net position	J	1,544,589	ę	1,544,589	φ	-	
Internal Service								
Total revenue		\$	1,205,182	\$	1,205,182	\$	_	
Total expenditures		\$	1,172,312	\$	1,172,312	\$	_	
422	Net position	\$	225,361	\$	225,361	\$	_	
	-	Ψ	220,001	Ŷ	220,001	Ψ		
OPEB Revocable Trus	st Fund							
Total revenue		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Total expenditures		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
422	Net position	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	
OPEB Irrevocable Tru	ust Fund							
Total revenue		\$	(299,946)	\$	(299,946)	\$	_	
Total expenditures		\$	989,951	\$	989,951	\$	_	
422	Net position	\$	15,265,103	\$	15,265,103	\$	_	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	,	Ŧ		-		
OPEB Debt Service Fi	und							
Total revenue		\$	3,790,908	\$	3,790,907	\$	1	
Total expenditures		\$	3,622,488	\$	3,622,488	\$	_	
Nonspendable			, ,					
460	Nonspendable fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Restricted	•	+						
425	Bond refundings	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	
464	Restricted fund balance	\$	372,768	\$	372,768	\$	-	
Unassigned		+			,			
463	Unassigned fund balance	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
	-							

Note: Statutory restricted deficits, if any, are reported in unassigned fund balances in the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.